1 2	STEVEN J. ROTHANS – State Bar No. 106579 JILL WILLIAMS – State Bar No. 221793		
$\begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}$	CARPENTER, ROTHANS & DUMONT 888 S. Figueroa Street, Suite 1960		
	CARPENTER, ROTHANS & DUMONT 888 S. Figueroa Street, Suite 1960 Los Angeles, CA 90017 (213) 228-0400 / (213) 228-0401 [Fax]		
4	srothans@crdlaw.com / jwilliams@crdlaw.com		
5	Attorneys for Defendants, City of El Segundo (also erroneously sued herein as "El Segundo Police Department"), Chief Mitch Tavera, Lt. Ray Garcia, Sgt. Janet Garza, Officer Erik Atkinson, and Officer Jeffrey Humphrey		
6			
7			
8			
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
10	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
11			
12	JEFFREY SIMONEK,	Case No.: CV15-9190 JAK (ASx)	
13	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION TO EXTEND TIME TO RESPOND TO COMPLAINT	
14	VS.	FOR DAMAGES	
15	CITY OF EL SEGUNDO; EL SEGUNDO POLICE DEPARTMENT,))	
16	MITCH TAVERA, Chief of Police of the El Segundo Police Department;))	
17	ERIC ATKINSON; JANET GARZA; RAY GARCIA; JEFFREY))	
18	HUMPHREY; GREG BURNER; KELLY BURNER; EL SEGUNDO		
19	SCHOOL DISTRICT, and DOES 1 through 10, inclusive,) Discovery Cut-Off: Not set Final Pre-Trial Conf.: Not set	
20	Defendants.	Final Pre-Trial Conf.: Not set Trial: Not set	
21			
22	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by and among the parties to this action,		
23	through their counsel of record, as follows:		
24	1. On November 25, 2015, the plaintiff filed the Complaint in this		
25	action.		
26	2. Defendant Chief Mitch Tave	era was served with the Complaint on	
27	February 11, 2016. The other City of El Segundo defendant officers (Lt. Ray		
28	Garcia, Sgt. Janet Garza, Officer Erik Atkinson and Officer Jeffrey Humphrey)		
	STIPULATION TO EXTEND TIME TO RESPOND TO SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT		

1	were served in the days thereafter. Put	rsuant to Federal Rules of Civil Procedure,	
2	Rule 15(a)(3) and 5(a)(1)(C), Chief Tavera's deadline to file a responsive pleading		
3	is Thursday, March 3, 2016.		
4	3. On February 25, 2016, counsel for the City of El Segundo defendants		
5	sent a letter to plaintiff's counsel advising of their intent to file a Motion to		
6	Dismiss and outlining the bases for that motion, as required by Central District		
7	Local Rule 7-3.		
8	4. On February 26, 2016, plaintiff's counsel responded to the meet and		
9	confer letter and advised that the plaintiff would be filing an amended complaint.		
10	On March 1, 2016, plaintiff's counsel advised that an amended complaint would		
11	be filed on March 7, 2016.		
12	5. To avoid needless law and motion, the parties hereby stipulate,		
13	subject to the approval of the court, to extend the deadline for the City of El		
14	Segundo defendants to respond to the Complaint from March 3, 2016, to March		
15	21, 2016. If the plaintiff files an amended complaint, these defendants' deadline to		
16	respond to that complaint shall be 21 days from the date the amended complaint is		
17	filed.		
18	IT IS SO STIPULATED.		
19			
20	DATED: March 2, 2016	LAW OFFICE OF STEFFENY HOLTZ	
21		/s/ Steffeny Holtz	
22	By:		
23		Steffeny Holtz, Esq. Attorneys for Plaintiff	
24			
25			
26			
27			
28		- 2 -	

TO SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT

Case 2:15-cv-09190-JAK-AS Document 11 Filed 03/03/16 Page 3 of 3 Page ID #:177